

Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting

Cynthia Glodeanu (Institute of Algebra, TU Dresden)



DATA ANALYSIS AND MODELING LAB

Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic



europa
european
social fund in the
czech republic



EUROPEAN UNION



MINISTRY OF EDUCATION,
YOUTH AND SPORTS



OP Education
for Competitiveness

INVESTMENTS IN EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT

Outline

- 1 Attribute Dependencies
- 2 Straightforward Generalisation
- 3 Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting

Outline

- 1 Attribute Dependencies
- 2 Straightforward Generalisation
- 3 Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting

Outline

- 1 Attribute Dependencies
- 2 Straightforward Generalisation
- 3 Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting

Outline

- 1 Attribute Dependencies
- 2 Straightforward Generalisation
- 3 Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting

	genus				habitat				size			fur		color			
	Acinonyx	Felis	Leptailurus	Panthera	Africa	America	Asia	Europe	small	medium	large	stripes	spots	black	sandy	white	yellow
Cheetah	×				×					×		×	×				×
Cougar				×		×					×				×		
Jaguar				×		×					×		×	×			×
Lion				×	×						×				×		
Panther				×	×		×			×			×				×
Serval			×		×				×			×	×		×		×
Tiger				×		×					×	×				×	×
Wildcat	×				×	×	×	×	×			×	×	×	×		×

- 35 concepts
- Biologist: What are “felines with yellow fur” ?
- Biologist’s order on the attribute
 - fur pattern and color are **less important than** size
 - size is **less important than** genus
 - size is **less important than** habitat
- \implies 15 “interesting” concepts.

- 35 concepts
- Biologist: What are “felines with yellow fur” ?
- Biologist’s order on the attribute
 - fur pattern and color are **less important than** size
 - size is **less important than** genus
 - size is **less important than** habitat
- \implies 15 “interesting” concepts.

- 35 concepts
- Biologist: What are “felines with yellow fur” ?
- Biologist’s order on the attribute
 - fur pattern and color are **less important than** size
 - size is **less important than** genus
 - size is **less important than** habitat
- \implies 15 “interesting” concepts.

- 35 concepts
- Biologist: What are “felines with yellow fur” ?
- Biologist’s order on the attribute
 - fur pattern and color are **less important than** size
 - size is **less important than** genus
 - size is **less important than** habitat
- \implies 15 “interesting” concepts.

Definition (Belohlavek, Sklenar, Vychodil)

An **attribute dependency formula (AD formula)** over a set Y is

$$A \sqsubseteq B;$$

$A; B \subseteq Y$. $A \sqsubseteq B$ is **true** in $M \subseteq Y$, written $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$, if

if $A \cap M \neq \emptyset$; then $B \cap M \neq \emptyset$:

$(C; D) \in \mathcal{B}(X; Y; I)$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if

$$D \models A \sqsubseteq B:$$

Definition (Belohlavek, Sklenar, Vychodil)

An **attribute dependency formula (AD formula)** over a set Y is

$$A \sqsubseteq B;$$

$A; B \subseteq Y$. $A \sqsubseteq B$ is **true** in $M \subseteq Y$, written $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$, if

if $A \cap M \neq \emptyset$; then $B \cap M \neq \emptyset$:

$(C; D) \in \mathcal{B}(X; Y; I)$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if

$$D \models A \sqsubseteq B:$$

$A := \{\text{black, sandy, white, yellow, stripes, spots}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{small, medium, large}\} =: B,$

$(C; D) := (\{\text{cheetah, jaguar, panther, serval, tiger, wildcat}\}; \{\text{yellow}\});$

$A \cap D = \{\text{yellow}\}$ but $B \cap D = \emptyset$

$D \not\sqsubseteq A \sqsubseteq B$

Definition

$M \subseteq Y$ **model** of a set T of AD formulas if $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$, for every $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$.

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \subseteq Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}:$$

Theorem (Belohlavek, Vychodil)

Mod(T) is a kernel system.

Lemma (Belohlavek, Vychodil)

For $A; B; M \subseteq Y$, we have

$$M \models A \sqsubseteq B \iff \overline{M} \models B \Rightarrow A:$$

Definition

$M \subseteq Y$ **model** of a set T of AD formulas if $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$, for every $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$.

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \subseteq Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}:$$

Theorem (Belohlavek, Vychodil)

$\text{Mod}(T)$ is a kernel system.

Lemma (Belohlavek, Vychodil)

For $A; B; M \subseteq Y$, we have

$$M \models A \sqsubseteq B \iff \overline{M} \models B \Rightarrow A:$$

Definition

$M \subseteq Y$ **model** of a set T of AD formulas if $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$, for every $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$.

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \subseteq Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}:$$

Theorem (Belohlavek, Vychodil)

$\text{Mod}(T)$ is a kernel system.

Lemma (Belohlavek, Vychodil)

For $A; B; M \subseteq Y$, we have

$$M \models A \sqsubseteq B \iff \overline{M} \models B \Rightarrow A:$$

Generation of minimal bases

- associate to each T a closure operator C
- use NEXTCLOSURE to compute minimal base T^1 (of attribute implications) associated to C
- $T_{\min} := \{B \setminus A \sqsubseteq A \mid A \Rightarrow B \in T^1\}$

Outline

- 1 Attribute Dependencies
- 2 Straightforward Generalisation
- 3 Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting

$A; B \in \mathbf{L}^Y$, a fuzzy attribute dependency formula (fAD)

$$A \sqsubseteq B$$

$M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if

if $A \cap M \neq \emptyset$; then $B \cap M \neq \emptyset$

$A; B \in \mathbf{L}^Y$, a fuzzy attribute dependency formula (fAD)

$$A \sqsubseteq B$$

$M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if

if $A \cap M \neq \emptyset$; then $B \cap M \neq \emptyset$

$A; B; C; D; M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$

- $\in L \setminus \{0\}$, $C \cap D$ -true if

$$\exists y \in Y \text{ such that } (C \cap D)(y) \geq$$

- $; \in L \setminus \{0\}$, \leq , $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$ if

if $A \cap M$ -true, then $B \cap M$ -true;

- T set of fuzzy AD formulas,

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for each } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}$$

$A; B; C; D; M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$

- $\in L \setminus \{0\}$, $C \cap D$ -true if

$$\exists y \in Y \text{ such that } (C \cap D)(y) \geq$$

- $; \in L \setminus \{0\}$, \leq , $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$ if

if $A \cap M$ -true, then $B \cap M$ -true;

- T set of fuzzy AD formulas,

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for each } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}$$

$A; B; C; D; M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$

- $\in L \setminus \{0\}$, $C \cap D$ -true if

$$\exists y \in Y \text{ such that } (C \cap D)(y) \geq$$

- ; $\in L \setminus \{0\}$, \leq , $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$ if

if $A \cap M$ -true, then $B \cap M$ -true;

- T set of fuzzy AD formulas,

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for each } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}$$

Fuzzy Kernel Operators and Systems [Belohlavek, Funiokova, Vychodil]

- An \mathbf{L}^* -kernel operator on a set Y is a mapping $S : \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} S(A) &\subseteq A; \\ S(A_1; A_2)^* &\leq S(S(A_1); S(A_2)); \\ S(S(A)) &= S(A); \end{aligned}$$

for every $A; A_1; A_2 \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

- A system $\mathcal{S} := \{A_j \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid j \in J\}$ is a \mathbf{L}^* -kernel system if for each $A \in \mathbf{L}^U$ holds that

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} S(A; A_j)^* \otimes A_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

- \mathcal{S} closed under arbitrary unions is a \mathbf{L}^* -kernel system iff for each $a \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$ it holds $a^* \otimes A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Fuzzy Kernel Operators and Systems [Belohlavek, Funiokova, Vychodil]

- An \mathbf{L}^* -kernel operator on a set Y is a mapping $S : \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} S(A) &\subseteq A; \\ S(A_1; A_2)^* &\leq S(S(A_1); S(A_2)); \\ S(S(A)) &= S(A); \end{aligned}$$

for every $A; A_1; A_2 \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

- A system $\mathcal{S} := \{A_j \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid j \in J\}$ is a \mathbf{L}^* -kernel system if for each $A \in \mathbf{L}^U$ holds that

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} S(A; A_j)^* \otimes A_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

- \mathcal{S} closed under arbitrary unions is a \mathbf{L}^* -kernel system iff for each $a \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$ it holds $a^* \otimes A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Fuzzy Kernel Operators and Systems [Belohlavek, Funiokova, Vychodil]

- An **L*-kernel operator** on a set Y is a mapping $S : \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} S(A) &\subseteq A; \\ S(A_1; A_2)^* &\leq S(S(A_1); S(A_2)); \\ S(S(A)) &= S(A); \end{aligned}$$

for every $A; A_1; A_2 \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

- A system $\mathcal{S} := \{A_j \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid j \in J\}$ is a **L*-kernel system** if for each $A \in \mathbf{L}^U$ holds that

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} S(A; A_j)^* \otimes A_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

- \mathcal{S} closed under arbitrary unions is a **L*-kernel system** iff for each $a \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$ it holds $a^* \otimes A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Is $Mod(T)$ an L^* -kernel system?

- $Mod(T)$ closed under arbitrary unions, i.e.,

$$\{M_j \in Mod(T) \mid j \in J\} \implies \bigcup_{j \in J} M_j \in Mod(T)$$

- $M \in Mod(T) \implies a^* \otimes M \in Mod(T)$ for all $a \in L$? Yes, if and only if $*$ is the globalisation.

For all $y \in Y$ holds

$$(a^* \otimes M)(y) = a^* \otimes M(y) = \begin{cases} 0; & a = 0 \\ M(y); & a = 1 \end{cases}$$

Is $Mod(T)$ an L^* -kernel system?

- $Mod(T)$ closed under arbitrary unions, i.e.,

$$\{M_j \in Mod(T) \mid j \in J\} \implies \bigcup_{j \in J} M_j \in Mod(T)$$

- $M \in Mod(T) \implies a^* \otimes M \in Mod(T)$ for all $a \in L$? Yes, if and only if $*$ is the globalisation.

For all $y \in Y$ holds

$$(a^* \otimes M)(y) = a^* \otimes M(y) = \begin{cases} 0; & a = 0 \\ M(y); & a = 1 \end{cases}$$

Outline

- 1 Attribute Dependencies
- 2 Straightforward Generalisation
- 3 Attribute Dependencies in a Fuzzy Setting**

	good team player		good organisational skills			adaptive towards new			confidential		computer skills	
	a: collaborative	b: not discriminative	c: time management	d: problem solver	e: analytical thinking	f: environment	g: assignment	h: priority	i: judgement	j: discretion	k: word processing	l: database
1	0	0.5	0.5	1	1	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0
3	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5
4	1	0.5	1	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	1	1
5	0	0.5	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0
6	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
7	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0

- Gödel logic \implies 44 fuzzy concepts

- $\{^{0.5}=\text{time, problem, analytical}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{collaborative, not discriminative}\}$
 $\{^{0.5}=\text{judgement, }^{0.5}=\text{discretion}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{enviroment, assignments, priority}\}$
 \implies 11 fuzzy concepts

Extent							Intent												
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	
0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	
0	1	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.5	0	0	
0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0.5	1	1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.5	0	0	
1	1	1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	

- Gödel logic \implies 44 fuzzy concepts
- $\{^{0.5}\text{=time, problem, analytical}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{collaborative, not discriminative}\}$
 $\{^{0.5}\text{=judgement, }^{0.5}\text{=discretion}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{enviroment, assignments, priority}\}$
 \implies 11 fuzzy concepts

Extent							Intent												
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	
0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	
0	1	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.5	0	0	
0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0.5	1	1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.5	0	0	
1	1	1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	

- Gödel logic \implies 44 fuzzy concepts
- $\{^{0.5}\text{=time, problem, analytical}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{collaborative, not discriminative}\}$
 $\{^{0.5}\text{=judgement, }^{0.5}\text{=discretion}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{enviroment, assignments, priority}\}$
 \implies 11 fuzzy concepts

Extent							Intent												
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	
0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	
0	1	0	0	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.5	0	0	
0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0.5	1	1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.5	0	0	
1	1	1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	1	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	

- $\{^{0.5}=\text{time, problem, analytical}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{collaborative, not discriminative}\}$
 $\{^{0.5}=\text{judgement, }^{0.5}=\text{discretion}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{assigments, }^{0.5}=\text{priority}\}$

Extent							Intent											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l
0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0
0	0	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
0	1	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0

- $\{^{0.5}=\text{time, problem, analytical}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{collaborative, not discriminative}\}$
 $\{^{0.5}=\text{judgement, }^{0.5}=\text{discretion}\} \sqsubseteq \{\text{assigments, }^{0.5}=\text{priority}\}$

Extent							Intent											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l
0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0
0	0	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
0	1	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0

Definition

A **fuzzy attribute-dependency formula (fAD)** over a set Y is

$$A \sqsubseteq B;$$

where $A; B \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

$A \sqsubseteq B$ is **true** in a fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ for $\alpha; \beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$, written $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$, if:

$$\text{if } S(A; M) \geq \alpha; \text{ then } S(B; M) \geq \beta;$$

A formal fuzzy concept $(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if $D \models A \sqsubseteq B$.
 T set of fAD formulas. Denote

$$\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I) := \{(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid D \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\};$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) := (\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I); \leq);$$

$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ fuzzy concept lattice of $(X; Y; I)$ constrained by T

Definition

A fuzzy attribute-dependency formula (fAD) over a set Y is

$$A \sqsubseteq B;$$

where $A; B \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

$A \sqsubseteq B$ is **true** in a fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ for $\alpha; \beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$, written $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$, if:

$$\text{if } S(A; M) \geq \alpha; \text{ then } S(B; M) \geq \beta;$$

A formal fuzzy concept $(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if $D \models A \sqsubseteq B$.
 T set of fAD formulas. Denote

$$\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I) := \{(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid D \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\};$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) := (\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I); \leq);$$

$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ fuzzy concept lattice of $(X; Y; I)$ constrained by T

Definition

A **fuzzy attribute-dependency formula** (fAD) over a set Y is

$$A \sqsubseteq B;$$

where $A; B \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

$A \sqsubseteq B$ is **true** in a fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ for $\alpha; \beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$, written $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$, if:

$$\text{if } S(A; M) \geq \alpha; \text{ then } S(B; M) \geq \beta;$$

A formal fuzzy concept $(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if $D \models A \sqsubseteq B$.
 T set of fAD formulas. Denote

$$\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I) := \{(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid D \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\};$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) := (\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I); \leq);$$

$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ fuzzy concept lattice of $(X; Y; I)$ constrained by T

Definition

A **fuzzy attribute-dependency formula** (fAD) over a set Y is

$$A \sqsubseteq B;$$

where $A; B \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

$A \sqsubseteq B$ is **true** in a fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ for $\alpha; \beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$, written $M \models_{\alpha, \beta} A \sqsubseteq B$, if:

$$\text{if } S(A; M) \geq \alpha; \text{ then } S(B; M) \geq \beta;$$

A formal fuzzy concept $(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$ satisfies $A \sqsubseteq B$ if $D \models A \sqsubseteq B$.
 T set of fAD formulas. Denote

$$\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I) := \{(C; D) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid D \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for every } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\};$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) := (\mathfrak{B}_T(X; Y; I); \leq);$$

$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ **fuzzy concept lattice of $(X; Y; I)$ constrained by T**

Proposition

Let T be a set of fAD formulas. Then, $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ is a complete fuzzy lattice, which is a \vee -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$.

- bounded from below
- arbitrary suprema
 $(A_j; B_j) \in \underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) \implies \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j \models A \sqsubseteq B$ for all $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$
- in general not a \wedge -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$
 $\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j \subseteq (\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j)^{\downarrow \uparrow}$
- Top elements of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$ are the same if $\nexists A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that every attribute from A is shared by all objects from X with at least the truth degree and there is at least one attribute from B which is not shared by all objects from X with at least the truth value \perp .

Proposition

Let T be a set of fAD formulas. Then, $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ is a complete fuzzy lattice, which is a \vee -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$.

- bounded from below
- arbitrary suprema
 $(A_j; B_j) \in \underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) \implies \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j \models A \sqsubseteq B$ for all $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$
- in general not a \wedge -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$
 $\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j \subseteq (\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j)^{\downarrow \uparrow}$
- Top elements of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$ are the same if $\nexists A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that every attribute from A is shared by all objects from X with at least the truth degree and there is at least one attribute from B which is not shared by all objects from X with at least the truth value .

Proposition

Let T be a set of fAD formulas. Then, $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ is a complete fuzzy lattice, which is a \vee -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$.

- bounded from below

- arbitrary suprema

$$(A_j; B_j) \in \underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) \implies \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j \models A \sqsubseteq B \text{ for all } A \sqsubseteq B \in T$$

- in general not a \wedge -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j \subseteq (\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j)^{\downarrow \uparrow}$$

- Top elements of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$ are the same if $\nexists A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that every attribute from A is shared by all objects from X with at least the truth degree and there is at least one attribute from B which is not shared by all objects from X with at least the truth value .

Proposition

Let T be a set of fAD formulas. Then, $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ is a complete fuzzy lattice, which is a \bigvee -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$.

- bounded from below
- arbitrary suprema
 $(A_j; B_j) \in \underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I) \implies \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j \models A \sqsubseteq B$ for all $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$
- in general not a \bigwedge -sublattice of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$
 $\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j \subseteq (\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j)^{\downarrow \uparrow}$
- Top elements of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}_T(X; Y; I)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(X; Y; I)$ are the same if $\nexists A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that every attribute from A is shared by all objects from X with at least the truth degree and there is at least one attribute from B which is not shared by all objects from X with at least the truth value \perp .

$$\begin{aligned} Str &: \mathcal{P}(fADF) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)); \\ Fml &: \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(fADF); \end{aligned}$$

T a set of fAD formulas; $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I))$

$$\begin{aligned} Str(T) &:= \{(A; B) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid (A; B) \models ' \text{ for each } ' \in T\}; \\ Fml(C) &:= \{ ' \in fADF \mid (A; B) \models ' \text{ for each } (A; B) \in C\}; \end{aligned}$$

- The mappings Str and Fml form a Galois connection between (the power sets of) fADF and $\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Str &: \mathcal{P}(fADF) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)); \\ Fml &: \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(fADF); \end{aligned}$$

T a set of fAD formulas; $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I))$

$$\begin{aligned} Str(T) &:= \{(A; B) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid (A; B) \models ' \text{ for each } ' \in T\}; \\ Fml(C) &:= \{ ' \in fADF \mid (A; B) \models ' \text{ for each } (A; B) \in C\}; \end{aligned}$$

- The mappings Str and Fml form a Galois connection between (the power sets of) $fADF$ and $\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Str &: \mathcal{P}(fADF) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)); \\ Fml &: \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(fADF); \end{aligned}$$

T a set of fAD formulas; $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I))$

$$\begin{aligned} Str(T) &:= \{(A; B) \in \mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I) \mid (A; B) \models ' \text{ for each } ' \in T\}; \\ Fml(C) &:= \{ ' \in fADF \mid (A; B) \models ' \text{ for each } (A; B) \in C\}; \end{aligned}$$

- The mappings Str and Fml form a Galois connection between (the power sets of) fADF and $\mathfrak{B}(X; Y; I)$.

Definition

A set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ is a **model** of a set T of fAD formulas if for each $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$, $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$.

$$\text{Mod}(T) := \{M \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid M \models A \sqsubseteq B; \text{ for each } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}:$$

An fAD formula $A \sqsubseteq B$ **follows semantically** from T , written $T \models A \sqsubseteq B$, if for each $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$, we have $M \models A \sqsubseteq B$.

Lemma

- 1 $M \models A \sqsubseteq \{l_1=y_1; \dots; l_m=y_m\}$ if and only if for each $i \in \{1; \dots; m\}$ we have $M \models A \sqsubseteq l_i=y_i$.
- 2 For each set T of fAD formulas and each fAD formula $'$, $T \models '$ if and only if $[T] \models '$, where $[T] := \{A \sqsubseteq l=z \mid A \sqsubseteq B \in T \text{ and } B(z) = l\}$.

\implies merge fAD formulas with the same left-hand side into a single one.

\implies test semantic entailment in fAD formulas $A \sqsubseteq l=y$ rather than on the whole $A \sqsubseteq B$.

Lemma

- 1 $M \models A \sqsubseteq \{l_1=y_1; \dots; l_m=y_m\}$ if and only if for each $i \in \{1; \dots; m\}$ we have $M \models A \sqsubseteq l_i=y_i$.
- 2 For each set T of fAD formulas and each fAD formula $'$, $T \models '$ if and only if $[T] \models '$, where $[T] := \{A \sqsubseteq l=z \mid A \sqsubseteq B \in T \text{ and } B(z) = l\}$.

\implies merge fAD formulas with the same left-hand side into a single one.

\implies test semantic entailment in fAD formulas $A \sqsubseteq l=y$ rather than on the whole $A \sqsubseteq B$.

Lemma

- 1 $M \models A \sqsubseteq \{l_1=y_1; \dots; l_m=y_m\}$ if and only if for each $i \in \{1; \dots; m\}$ we have $M \models A \sqsubseteq l_i=y_i$.
- 2 For each set T of fAD formulas and each fAD formula $'$, $T \models '$ if and only if $\lfloor T \rfloor \models '$, where $\lfloor T \rfloor := \{A \sqsubseteq l=z \mid A \sqsubseteq B \in T \text{ and } B(z) = l\}$.

\implies merge fAD formulas with the same left-hand side into a single one.

\implies test semantic entailment in fAD formulas $A \sqsubseteq l=y$ rather than on the whole $A \sqsubseteq B$.

Fuzzy Closure Operators and Systems [Belohlavek]

- An \mathbf{L}^* -closure operator on a set Y is a mapping $C : \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}A &\subseteq C(A); \\ S(A_1; A_2)^* &\leq S(C(A_1); C(A_2)); \\ C(A) &= C(C(A));\end{aligned}$$

for every $A; A_1; A_2 \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

- A system $\mathcal{S} := \{A_j \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid j \in J\}$ is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system if for each $A \in \mathbf{L}^U$ holds that

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} S(A; A_j)^* \rightarrow A_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

- \mathcal{S} closed under arbitrary intersections is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system iff for each $a \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$ it holds $a^* \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Fuzzy Closure Operators and Systems [Belohlavek]

- An \mathbf{L}^* -closure operator on a set Y is a mapping $C : \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}A &\subseteq C(A); \\ S(A_1; A_2)^* &\leq S(C(A_1); C(A_2)); \\ C(A) &= C(C(A));\end{aligned}$$

for every $A; A_1; A_2 \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

- A system $\mathcal{S} := \{A_j \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid j \in J\}$ is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system if for each $A \in \mathbf{L}^U$ holds that

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} S(A; A_j)^* \rightarrow A_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

- \mathcal{S} closed under arbitrary intersections is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system iff for each $a \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$ it holds $a^* \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Fuzzy Closure Operators and Systems [Belohlavek]

- An \mathbf{L}^* -closure operator on a set Y is a mapping $C : \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}A &\subseteq C(A); \\ S(A_1; A_2)^* &\leq S(C(A_1); C(A_2)); \\ C(A) &= C(C(A));\end{aligned}$$

for every $A; A_1; A_2 \in \mathbf{L}^Y$.

- A system $\mathcal{S} := \{A_j \in \mathbf{L}^Y \mid j \in J\}$ is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system if for each $A \in \mathbf{L}^U$ holds that

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} S(A; A_j)^* \rightarrow A_j \in \mathcal{S}$$

- \mathcal{S} closed under arbitrary intersections is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system iff for each $a \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$ it holds $a^* \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition

Let T be a set of fAD formulas. Then, $Mod(T)$ is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system.

- $Mod(T)$ is closed under arbitrary intersections,
- if $M \in Mod(T)$, then $a^* \rightarrow M \in Mod(T)$ if and only if $*$ is the globalisation, because

$$S(A; a^* \rightarrow M) = a^* \rightarrow S(A; M) = \begin{cases} 1; & a = 0 \\ S(A; M); & a = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proposition

Let T be a set of fAD formulas. Then, $Mod(T)$ is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure system.

- $Mod(T)$ is closed under arbitrary intersections,
- if $M \in Mod(T)$, then $a^* \rightarrow M \in Mod(T)$ if and only if $*$ is the globalisation, because

$$S(A; a^* \rightarrow M) = a^* \rightarrow S(A; M) = \begin{cases} 1; & a = 0 \\ S(A; M); & a = 1 \end{cases}$$

Lemma

For any \mathbf{L}^* -closure system \mathcal{S} in Y there is a set T of fAD formulas over Y such that $\mathcal{S} = \text{Mod}(T)$.

- $T := \{A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \mid A \in \mathbf{L}^Y\}$, $S(A; M) = 1$;
- $M \in \mathcal{S}$
if $S(A; M) < 1$, $M \not\models A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$ and we are done
if $S(A; M) \geq 1 \implies C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(M) = M \implies S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(A); M) \geq 1$
- $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$, i.e.,

if $S(M; M) \geq 1$; then $S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(M); M) \geq 1$;

$M = C_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$.

Lemma

For any \mathbf{L}^* -closure system \mathcal{S} in Y there is a set T of fAD formulas over Y such that $\mathcal{S} = \text{Mod}(T)$.

- $T := \{A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \mid A \in \mathbf{L}^Y\}$, $\quad = \quad = 1$;
- $M \in \mathcal{S}$
if $S(A; M) < 1$, $M \not\models A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$ and we are done
if $S(A; M) \geq 1 \implies C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(M) = M \implies S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(A); M) \geq 1$
- $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$, i.e.,

if $S(M; M) \geq 1$; then $S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(M); M) \geq 1$;

$M = C_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$.

Lemma

For any \mathbf{L}^* -closure system \mathcal{S} in Y there is a set T of fAD formulas over Y such that $\mathcal{S} = \text{Mod}(T)$.

- $T := \{A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \mid A \in \mathbf{L}^Y\}$, $S(A; A) = 1$;
- $M \in \mathcal{S}$
if $S(A; M) < 1$, $M \models A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$ and we are done
if $S(A; M) \geq 1 \implies C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(M) = M \implies S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(A); M) \geq 1$
- $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$, i.e.,

if $S(M; M) \geq 1$; then $S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(M); M) \geq 1$;

$M = C_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$.

Lemma

For any \mathbf{L}^* -closure system \mathcal{S} in Y there is a set T of fAD formulas over Y such that $\mathcal{S} = \text{Mod}(T)$.

- $T := \{A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \mid A \in \mathbf{L}^Y\}$, $\quad = \quad = 1$;
- $M \in \mathcal{S}$
if $S(A; M) < 1$, $M \not\models A \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$ and we are done
if $S(A; M) \geq 1 \implies C_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{S}}(M) = M \implies S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(A); M) \geq 1$
- $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$, i.e.,

if $S(M; M) \geq 1$; then $S(C_{\mathcal{S}}(M); M) \geq 1$;

$M = C_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$.

For any fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ define

$$M^T := M \cup \bigcup \{ \otimes B \mid A \sqsubseteq B \in T; S(A; M) \geq \}$$

For each non-negative integer define

$$M^{T_n} := \begin{cases} M & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (M^{T_{n-1}})^T & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Define an operator $cl_T: \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ by

$$cl_T(M) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{T_n}$$

For any fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ define

$$M^T := M \cup \bigcup \{ \otimes B \mid A \sqsubseteq B \in T; S(A; M) \geq \}$$

For each non-negative integer define

$$M^{T_n} := \begin{cases} M & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (M^{T_{n-1}})^T & \text{if } n \geq 1: \end{cases}$$

Define an operator $cl_T: \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ by

$$cl_T(M) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{T_n}$$

For any fuzzy set $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ define

$$M^T := M \cup \bigcup \{ \otimes B \mid A \sqsubseteq B \in T; S(A; M) \geq \}$$

For each non-negative integer define

$$M^{T_n} := \begin{cases} M & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (M^{T_{n-1}})^T & \text{if } n \geq 1: \end{cases}$$

Define an operator $cl_T: \mathbf{L}^Y \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^Y$ by

$$cl_T(M) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{T_n}$$

Proposition

For each $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$ we have $cl_T(M) = M$.

Lemma

Let T be a set of fAD formulas over Y . Further let both Y and \mathbf{L} be finite. Then, cl_T is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure operator such that for each $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$, $C_{\text{Mod}(T)}(M) = cl_T(M)$.

INPUT: Set T of fAD formulas, $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$

OUTPUT: $C_{\text{Mod}(T)}(M)$

- 1 if for all $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$, $(S(A; M) < \alpha)$ or $(S(A; M) \geq \alpha$ and $S(B; M) \geq \beta)$, then goto step 3);
- 2 take any $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that $S(A; M) \geq \alpha$ and $S(B; M) < \beta$; set M to $M \cup \{ \alpha \otimes B \}$; goto step 1);
- 3 return M .

Proposition

For each $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$ we have $\text{cl}_T(M) = M$.

Lemma

Let T be a set of fAD formulas over Y . Further let both Y and \mathbf{L} be finite. Then, cl_T is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure operator such that for each $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$, $C_{\text{Mod}(T)}(M) = \text{cl}_T(M)$.

INPUT: Set T of fAD formulas, $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$

OUTPUT: $C_{\text{Mod}(T)}(M)$

- 1 if for all $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$, $(S(A; M) < \quad)$ or $(S(A; M) \geq \quad$ and $S(B; M) \geq \quad)$, then goto step 3);
- 2 take any $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that $S(A; M) \geq \quad$ and $S(B; M) < \quad$; set M to $M \cup \{ \quad \otimes B \}$; goto step 1);
- 3 return M .

Proposition

For each $M \in \text{Mod}(T)$ we have $cl_T(M) = M$.

Lemma

Let T be a set of fAD formulas over Y . Further let both Y and \mathbf{L} be finite. Then, cl_T is an \mathbf{L}^* -closure operator such that for each $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$, $C_{\text{Mod}(T)}(M) = cl_T(M)$.

INPUT: Set T of fAD formulas, $M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$

OUTPUT: $C_{\text{Mod}(T)}(M)$

- 1 if for all $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$, $(S(A; M) < \quad)$ or $(S(A; M) \geq \quad$ and $S(B; M) \geq \quad)$, then goto step 3);
- 2 take any $A \sqsubseteq B \in T$ such that $S(A; M) \geq \quad$ and $S(B; M) < \quad$; set M to $M \cup \{ \quad \otimes B \}$; goto step 1);
- 3 return M .

$$\text{Imp}(T) := \{A \Rightarrow B \mid \text{for all } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}:$$

$M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ **model** of $\text{Imp}(T)$ if $\|A \Rightarrow B\|_M = S(A; M)^* \rightarrow S(B; M) = 1$ for each $A \Rightarrow B \in \text{Imp}(T)$.

Lemma

If we choose $\alpha = 1$, then for every set of fAD formulas T the following holds

$$\text{Mod}(\text{Imp}(T)) \subseteq \text{Mod}(T):$$

$$\text{Imp}(T) := \{A \Rightarrow B \mid \text{for all } A \sqsubseteq B \in T\}:$$

$M \in \mathbf{L}^Y$ **model** of $\text{Imp}(T)$ if $\|A \Rightarrow B\|_M = S(A; M)^* \rightarrow S(B; M) = 1$ for each $A \Rightarrow B \in \text{Imp}(T)$.

Lemma

If we choose $\alpha = \beta = 1$, then for every set of fAD formulas T the following holds

$$\text{Mod}(\text{Imp}(T)) \subseteq \text{Mod}(T):$$

Definition

Two sets T_1 and T_2 of fAD formulas are called **equivalent**, written $T_1 \equiv T_2$, if

$$T_1 \models ' _2 \text{ and } T_2 \models ' _1;$$

for each $' _1 \in T_1$ and $' _2 \in T_2$.

Lemma

Let T_1 and T_2 be sets of fAD formulas. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1 $Mod(T_1) = Mod(T_2)$,
- 2 For any fAD formula $'$ we have $T_1 \models ' \iff T_2 \models '$,
- 3 $T_1 \equiv T_2$.

Definition

Two sets T_1 and T_2 of fAD formulas are called **equivalent**, written $T_1 \equiv T_2$, if

$$T_1 \models ' _2 \text{ and } T_2 \models ' _1;$$

for each $' _1 \in T_1$ and $' _2 \in T_2$.

Lemma

Let T_1 and T_2 be sets of fAD formulas. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1 $Mod(T_1) = Mod(T_2)$,
- 2 For any fAD formula $'$ we have $T_1 \models ' \iff T_2 \models '$,
- 3 $T_1 \equiv T_2$.

Definition

A set T' of fAD formulas is called a **non-redundant base** of T if $T \equiv T'$ and there is no $T'' \subset T'$ with $T'' \equiv T$. A set T' of fAD formulas is called a **minimal base** of T if $T \equiv T'$ and for each T'' such that $T \equiv T''$, we have $|T'| \leq |T''|$.

If T' is a minimal base of T , then T' is a non-redundant base of T . The converse implication is not true in general.

Definition

A set T' of fAD formulas is called a **non-redundant base** of T if $T \equiv T'$ and there is no $T'' \subset T'$ with $T'' \equiv T$. A set T' of fAD formulas is called a **minimal base** of T if $T \equiv T'$ and for each T'' such that $T \equiv T''$, we have $|T'| \leq |T''|$.

If T' is a minimal base of T , then T' is a non-redundant base of T . The converse implication is not true in general.

- $T' := T \setminus \{A \sqsubseteq B\}$. If $T' \models A \sqsubseteq B$, then $T \equiv T'$.

INPUT: Set $T := \{A_i \sqsubseteq B_i \mid i \in \{1; \dots; n\}\}$ of fAD formulas

OUTPUT: T non-redundant base

for all $i := 1$ **to** n **do**

$T' := T \setminus \{A_i \sqsubseteq B_i\}$;

if $T' \models A_i \sqsubseteq B_i$ **then** $T := T'$;

return T .

- $T^1 := T \setminus \{A \sqsubseteq B\}$. If $T^1 \models A \sqsubseteq B$, then $T \equiv T^1$.

INPUT: Set $T := \{A_i \sqsubseteq B_i \mid i \in \{1; \dots; n\}\}$ of fAD formulas

OUTPUT: T non-redundant base

for all $i := 1$ **to** n **do**

$T^1 := T \setminus \{A_i \sqsubseteq B_i\}$;

if $T \models A_i \sqsubseteq B_i$ **then** $T := T^1$;

return T .

{Thank you for} \sqsubseteq {your attention!}